UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/574,890 | 08/14/2008 | Matteo Zoppas | NBG-112 | 3355 |
| 48388 LORUSSO & <i>A</i> | 7590 03/23/201 ASSOCIATES | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 21915 | | LUK, EMMANUEL S | | |
| PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1744 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/23/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 10/574,890 | ZOPPAS ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | EMMANUEL S. LUK | 1744 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ja</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | | |
| | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/7/06</u>. | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/574,890 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-7 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1744

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Domodossola (6143225).

Domodossola teaches the claimed invention having the cooling turret block 34 with tubes arranged upon the faces 48, 50, the faces as seen in the Figures as being the platens. Domodossola also teaches a secondary embodiment in Figures 5-7 with the turret block rotatable and movable in a vertical motion. The turret block comprising of different elements including faces for the holding the tubes, the tubes acting as cavities for holding the preforms with the neck facing a downward position.

Domodossola teaches the receiving tubes, the tubes retaining the preforms with the aid of a vacuum, and the tubes can be connected to a water conduction cooling system and with means for blowing a cooling fluid to the exterior surfaces of the molded parts, see Col. 3, lines 25-43.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the turret 34 of Domodossola as the first and second bar of the parallelepiped with the first and second faces being the substantially rectangular plates.

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coran (2003/0003187).

Coran teaches the claimed invention having the cooling turret block 32 with tubes 44, 49 arranged upon the plates 45,48 (Face A, Face B, see Figures 1-4). Coran teaches the turret block rotatable and the translation of the device to the molding apparatus, see Figure 1. The turret block comprising of different elements including

Application/Control Number: 10/574,890 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

faces for the holding the tubes, the tubes acting as cavities for holding the preforms with the neck facing a downward position. Coran teaches the receiving tubes, the tubes retaining the preforms with the aid of a vacuum, the preforms can be ejected in the lower position via mechanical or pneumatic means, see [0060].

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the turret 32 of Coran as the first and second bar of the parallelepiped with the first and second faces being the substantially rectangular plates.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached PTO-892 form.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMMANUEL S. LUK whose telephone number is (571)272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,890 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yogendra N Gupta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791

EL